**NER (Named Entity Recognition)**

[Professor Johnson] (PERSON) claims that grading midterms is more exhausting than running for [President of the United States] (TITLE). Meanwhile, students in his [Political Science 101] (COURSE) class argue that deciphering his handwritten notes requires the \*\*analytical skills of a [Supreme Court] (ORG) justice\*\*.

**Sentiment Analysis**

• “grading midterms is more exhausting than running for President” → NEGATIVE

• “deciphering handwritten notes requires analytical skills of a Supreme Court justice” → NEUTRAL

• “petition to replace exams with Netflix documentaries” → HUMOROUS/SATIRICAL

**Text Classification Labels**

• **Category:** *University Life*

• **Subcategory:** *Political Science*

• **Tone:** *Humorous/Sarcastic*
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**The Campus Democracy Dilemma**

*“After a heated debate, the Student Government Association has finally passed a resolution declaring that* ***8 AM classes are unconstitutional****. However, the university administration quickly vetoed it, citing ‘historical precedent’ and ‘the cruel realities of adulthood.’ Meanwhile, Political Science majors argue that this is a clear violation of student sovereignty and are considering appealing to the United Nations—if only they had the budget for the plane tickets.”*

**NER (Named Entity Recognition)**

After a heated debate, the [Student Government Association] (ORG) has finally passed a resolution declaring that \*\*8 AM classes are unconstitutional\*\*. However, the [university administration] (ORG) quickly vetoed it, citing ‘historical precedent’ and ‘the cruel realities of adulthood.’ Meanwhile, [Political Science] (FIELD) majors argue that this is a clear violation of student sovereignty and are considering appealing to the [United Nations] (ORG)—if only they had the budget for the plane tickets.

**Sentiment Analysis**

• “8 AM classes are unconstitutional” → NEGATIVE

• “violation of student sovereignty” → NEGATIVE

• “considering appealing to the United Nations” → HUMOROUS/EXAGGERATED

**Text Classification Labels**

• **Category:** *Student Activism*

• **Subcategory:** *University Politics*

• **Tone:** *Humorous/Satirical*

**📌 The Legal Dispute Over Intellectual Property Rights**

*“In a landmark ruling, the* ***United States Supreme Court*** *held that the patent granted to* ***Omega Technologies, Inc.*** *was invalid due to prior art. The decision, issued on* ***March 15, 2024****, reversed the judgment of the* ***Federal Circuit Court of Appeals*** *and reaffirmed the standards for patent eligibility under* ***35 U.S.C. § 102****. Legal experts argue that this ruling sets a significant precedent for future intellectual property cases, particularly concerning software patents.* ***Attorney General Lisa Reynolds*** *stated that the ruling strengthens protections against overly broad patents and promotes fair competition in the technology sector.”*

**NER (Named Entity Recognition)**

In a landmark ruling, the \*\*[United States Supreme Court] (ORG)\*\* held that the patent granted to \*\*[Omega Technologies, Inc.] (ORG)\*\* was invalid due to prior art. The decision, issued on \*\*[March 15, 2024] (DATE)\*\*, reversed the judgment of the \*\*[Federal Circuit Court of Appeals] (ORG)\*\* and reaffirmed the standards for patent eligibility under \*\*[35 U.S.C. § 102] (LAW)\*\*. Legal experts argue that this ruling sets a significant precedent for future intellectual property cases, particularly concerning software patents. \*\*[Attorney General Lisa Reynolds] (PERSON)\*\* stated that the ruling strengthens protections against overly broad patents and promotes fair competition in the \*\*[technology sector] (INDUSTRY)\*\*.

**Sentiment Analysis**

• “patent granted to Omega Technologies, Inc. was invalid due to prior art” → NEGATIVE (for the company)

• “sets a significant precedent for future intellectual property cases” → NEUTRAL (Legal Implication)

• “strengthens protections against overly broad patents and promotes fair competition” → POSITIVE (for the public and competitors)

**Text Classification Labels**

• **Category:** *Legal Rulings*

• **Subcategory:** *Intellectual Property Law*

• **Relevance:** *High-impact decision affecting technology patents*

• **Legal Reference:** *35 U.S.C. § 102*